Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/519,730	MAYER, BERND
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dean O. Takaoka	2817
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Dean O. Takaoka</u> .	(3)	
(2) Herbert F. Ruschman.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 December 2006	Time: <u>2:00p</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 102		
Claims discussed: 1-15		
Prior art documents discussed: Au-Yeung		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sun 	 The examiner will provide a water record of the substance of 	ritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was the position of the Examiner that Au-Yeung continued to anticipate claims 1-14 however claims 15-22 were found allowable over the prior art. Applicant agreed to cancel claims 1-14 in order to place the remaining claims in condition for allowance. It was noted claim 15 comprised a typographical error and it was agreed to make corrections by Examiner's amendment. The Examiner has adived the Applicant that the European reference EP0276582 listed in the IDS of December 28, 2004 still has not been received and has not been considered.